

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ALEXANDER SINCLAIR,

Plaintiff,

v.

DYLAN SCHMIT, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 2:25-cv-0903 DAD CSK P

ORDER AND FINDINGS AND
RECOMMENDATIONS


On March 31, 2025, plaintiff was ordered to file a completed in forma pauperis affidavit and a certified copy of his prison trust account statement, and was cautioned that failure to do so would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. On May 8, 2025, plaintiff was granted an additional thirty days in which to comply with the March 31, 2025 order. The thirty day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not responded to the Court's order and has not filed the required documents.

In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned

1 “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings and Recommendations.” Plaintiff is advised that
2 failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District
3 Court’s order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

4
5 Dated: June 17, 2025

6 
7 CHI SOO KIM
8 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
/1/sinc0903.fifp